COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2024

10:00 AM

23-CA-499

ARTHUR RENAUDIN VERSUS GRAHAM LEAMING BOSWORTH, A/K/A GRAHAM BOSWORTH

Frank W. Lagarde, Jr., & Amanda L. Sullivan for Plaintiff-Appellant Brian A. Pena, & Autumn A. Town for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2024

10:00 AM

*23-CA-532

TAVIS JOSEPH VERSUS JEFFERSON PARISH CLERK OF COURT OFFICE AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY PAUL CONNICK, ET AL.

TAVIS JOSEPH #4707704 - Eagle 1 - In-Proper Person, for Plaintiff-Appellant Carey B. Daste, for Defendant-Appellee Sunny D. Funk, & Thomas J. Butler for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2024
	01:00 PM
23-CA-580	THOMAS GIBSON, JR. AND BARBARA GIBSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF THEIR FATHER, THOMAS GIBSON (D) VERSUS JEFFERSON PARISH HOSPITAL SERVICE DISTRICT NO 2 D/B/A EAST JEFFERSON GENERAL HOSPITAL & OCHSNER CLINIC FOUNDATION
	William K. Wright, IV, & Don S. McKinney for Defendant-Appellant Conrad Meyer, & Sarah J. L. Christakis for Defendant-Appellee Anh Q. Cao, & Amy E. Schapansky for Plaintiff-Appellee
*23-CA-538	THOMAS GIBSON, JR. AND BARBARA GIBSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF THEIR FATHER, THOMAS GIBSON (D) VERSUS JEFFERSON PARISH HOSPITAL SERVICE DISTRICT NO 2 D/B/A EAST JEFFERSON GENERAL HOSPITAL & OCHSNER CLINIC FOUNDATION
	Anh Q. Cao, & Amy E. Schapansky, for Plaintiff for Plaintiff-Appellant Conrad Meyer, & Sarah J. L. Christakis, for Defendant-Appellee William K. Wright, IV, & Don S. McKinney, for Defendant-Appellee
23-CA-528	STEPHANIE A. VARNADO VERSUS PENNSYLVANIA MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION INSURANCE COMPANY Joseph M. Bruno, Sr., Donald D. Reichert, Jr., & Markita Hawkins for Plaintiff-Appellant
	John Parker, Amy G. Lowe, & Taylor Dunne for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	WEDNESDAY, MAY 8, 2024
	10:00 AM
*23-CA-536	RG FAMILY, LLC AND SILVER FOX CASINO, LLC VERSUS
	PEDRO BELTRAN RODRIGUEZ AND BELTRAN CONCRETE, INC.
	Peter J. Segrist, & Kyle J. E. Koch, for Plaintiff-Appellee
	Molly M. Jones, David M. McDonald & John D. Perez for Defendant-Appellant
*24-CA-47	IN RE: NELITA THOMPSON APPLYING FOR INTRAFAMILY ADOPTION, OF CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN
	Erin A. Fisher & Ramona G. Fernandez, for Defendant-Appellant Mitchell A. Palmer & Roy M. Bowes for Plaintiff-Appellee Judith A. DeFraites, for Minor-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 8, 2024

11:00 AM

24-C-125

COMMERCIAL CHEMICAL PRODUCTS, INC. D/B/A POOLSURE VERSUS JAKE'S TOWING, L.L.C., AND AXIS CAPITAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Charles K. Chauvin, for Plaintiff-Respondant Dennis J. Phayer, for Defendant-Relator

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	WEDNESDAY, MAY 8, 2024
	01:00 PM
23-CA-537	DANIEL RUSSO AND REILLY CAVANAUGH
	VERSUS
	ONPATH FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
	Michael E. Lillis, & Lawrence J. Centola, III, for Plaintiff-Appellant
	Susan F. Desmond, for Defendant-Appellee
23-CA-535	JANET STEWART
	VERSUS
	CHARLOTTE MILLER, CLAYTON MILLER & FAITH HATCHER HOOTER
	Shermin S. Khan, for Plaintiff-Appellant
	Irl R. Silverstein, for Defendant-Appellee
	Shermin S. Khan, for Plaintiff-Appellant

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	WEDNESDAY, MAY 8, 2024 01:00 PM
	01.00 1 141
23-CA-522	LOUIS HENRY
	VERSUS
	SOUTHWEST AIRLINES
	Randy G. McKee, & Steven M. Jupiter for Plaintiff-Appellant
	Andre M. Boudreaux, & Leo R. McAloon, III, for Defendant-Appellee
23-CA-518	LOURDES ROMERO
	VERSUS
	WAL-MART, INC.
	Ivan A. Orihuela, for Plaintiff-Appellant

Peter S. Martin, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 2024
	10:00 AM
*23-KA-423	STATE OF LOUISIANA
	VERSUS
	LARRY DILLON, JR.
	Honorable Bridget A. Dinvaut, Geoffrey M. Michel, & J. Philip Prescott, Jr., for
	Plaintiff-Appellee
	David E. Stanley, for Defendant-Appellant
*23-CA-490	KRISTINE ACKELS
	VERSUS
	DR. SCOTT BUHLER M.D. AND JESSICA SCHMIDT NP
	Kristine Ackels - In Proper Person, for Plaintiff-Appellant
	Benjamin J. Biller, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 2024

01:00 PM

*24-CA-6

ANTHONY JAMES WILLIAMSON VERSUS NADIA NICOLE BELL

Tilton R. Hunter, Jr., for Plaintiff-Appellant Renee L. Swanson, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 2024

11:00 AM

*23-CA-372

MELANIE RIVIERE VERSUS BERND BELLO

T. Carey Wicker, III & Thomas C. Wicker, IV, for Defendant-Appellant Melanie Riviere - In Proper Person, for Plaintiff-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2024

02:00 PM

*23-CA-417

JUDITH PETIT VERSUS PHYLLIS PETIT

Martha J. Maher, for Plaintiff-Appellee Ryan O. Luminais & James M. Garner, for Defendant-Appellant Arthur Loyacano - In Proper Person, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 2024 02:00 PM

*23-CA-328

FOUNDATION ELEVATION & REPAIR, LLC VERSUS KENNETH MILLER AND DOREEN MILLER

Jeffrey W. Bennett & Andrew T. Lilly, for Plaintiff-Appellee Tarryn E. Walsh & Brittney L. Esie, for Defendant-Appellant

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 2024

02:00 PM

*23-CA-419

WALTER G. LYONS AND KATHLEEN LYONS VERSUS STATE OF LOUISIANA THROUGH LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER AND LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER, ET AL

Rachel M. Naquin & Walter C. Morrison, IV, for Plaintiff-Appellant Peter J. Wanek, Sheryl D. Story, & Kathryn T. Trew, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.