COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 2022

10:00 AM

*21-CA-619

GAIL RUFFINS VERSUS HAZA FOODS OF LOUISIANA, LLC

DaShawn P. Hayes, for Plaintiff-Appellant Dean M. Arruebarrena, Misha M. Logan, Alexander B. Johnson, & Megan A. Haynes, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 2022 01:00 PM *21-CA-629 RAIHANA ISLAM AND MOHAMMED ISLAM VERSUS WALMART, INC. D/B/A WALMART NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET - KENNER AND WAL-MART LOUISIANA, L.L.C. D/B/A WALMART NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET - KENNER AND WAL-MART LOUISIANA, L.L.C. D/B/A WALMART NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET -KENNER Joseph S. Piacun, Reid S. Uzee, & William J. Guste, III, for Plaintiff-Appellant Denman T. Mims, Sidney J. Hardy, Isidro R. DeRojas, & Lynda A. Tafaro, for Defendant-Appellee Timothy W. Hassinger, Patrick J. Schepens, & Kelsey L. Bonnaffons, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 2022 01:00 PM COREY COBENA AND DAJONIC SMITH *21-CA-630 VERSUS ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY BFI WASTE SERVICES, LLC AND VINICIO ARDON Erin A. Fisher & Jacqueline F. Maloney, for Plaintiff-Appellant Mark Gerard Tauzier, Jon Yeager, Anthony V. LaNasa, Jr., Lauren A. Duncan, & Michael K. Melucci, for Intervenor-Appellee Leo J. Palazzo, Jason J. Markey, & Mario A. Arteaga, Jr., for Intervenor-Appellee Carl A. Woods, III, Caleb H. Didriksen, III, Erin Bruce Saucier, & Alexander A. Lauricella, for Intervenor-Appellee Desiree M. Charbonnet, for Intervenor-Appellee Katelyn N. Donnelly, & Irvy E. Cosse, III, for Intervenor-Appellee Francis H. Brown, III, & Larry J. Feldman, Jr., for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

THURSDAY, MAY 5, 2022

10:00 AM

*21-CA-633

SANDRA DORSEY VERSUS DON'S SEAFOOD HUT OF METAIRIE, ET AL.

Brandon Melerine, Connie P. Trieu, Paul F. Lambert, Zachary T. Lamachio, & Lee P. Sharrock, for Plaintiff-Appellant Michael R. Zsembik, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

TUESDAY, MAY 24, 2022

10:00 AM

22-C-20 C/W 22-C-46

VERSUS ABDUL KHAN, M.D

CHANDRA MARTS

C/W

CHANDRA MARTS VERSUS DR. ABDUL KHAN AND OCEANS BEHAVIORAL HOSPITAL OF GREATER NEW ORLEANS, LLC

Guice A. Giambrone, III & A. Rebecca Wilmore, for Defendant-Relator Anthony P. Lewis, for Plaintiff-Respondant Keith C. Armstrong & Zachary S. Miller, for Defendant-Respondant

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

THURSDAY, MAY 5, 2022

01:00 PM

*21-CA-574

MICHAEL BRANTLEY, JR., ET AL VERSUS CITY OF GRETNA & REDFLEX TRAFFIC SYSTEMS, INC.

G. Adam Cossey, Gordon L. James, Robert M. Baldwin,
& Margaret H. Pruitt, for Plaintiff-Appellee
Leonard L. Levenson, Christian W. Helmke, Colleen B. Gannon,
& Donna R. Barrios, E. John Litchfield & Michael J. Marsiglia, for Defendant-2nd Appellant
Kent A. Lambert, Nancy S. Degan, Leopoldo J. Yanez,
Emily Olivier Kesler, Daniel P. Quigley &
Stacey F. Gottlieb, Allen C. Miller and Kim M. Boyle for Defendant-Appellant

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

TUESDAY, MAY 17, 2022 11:30 AM

22-C-75

MAGNOLIA HUBBARD ALEXANDER VERSUS ACADEMIC DERMATOLOGY ASSOCIATES, LLC, DR RYAN MATHERNE, AND DR. TAMELA L. CHARBONNET

Frank J. D'Amico, Jr. & Pierre F. Gremillion, for Plaintiff-Respondant Raymond R. Egan, III, for Defendant-Relator

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 11, 2022

10:00 AM

*21-CA-570

DYRANESHIA WARREN, ET AL VERSUS HDI GLOBAL INSURANCE COMPANY; ET AL

Monique N. Green, & Thomas D. Bowers, III - Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant Nathan M. Gaudet, for Defendant-Appellee Honorable Jeffrey M. Landry, Thomas M. Brahney, Wm. David Coffey,& Amber M. Babin, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

TUESDAY, MAY 17, 2022

10:30 AM

*21-CA-553

PATRICIA DESSELLE VERSUS STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY AND DAVID SILES

Joseph S. Piacun & Reid S. Uzee, for Plaintiff-Appellant Victoria H. Fabre & Matthew A. Mang, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.