COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	MONDAY, MARCH 6, 2023
	10:00 AM
*22-CA-268	ULFERS DEVELOPMENT, LLC VERSUS A AND C HOLDINGS LLC, GEORGE ACKEL, AND GABRIEL CORCHIANI
	Thomas M. Flanagan, Camille E. Gauthier, & Alixe Duplechain, for Plaintiff-Appellee George Ackel, Janna C. Bergeron, for Defendant-Appellant Jack M. Capella, for Defendant-Appellant Jarred P. Bradley, for Intervenor-Appellee
22-CA-407	NICHOLAS D. PAPPAS, III, M.D. VERSUS HAND SURGICAL ASSOCIATES, LTD.
	Leslie W. Ehret, Benjamin M. Castoriano, & David I. Courcelle, for Plaintiff-Appellee Timothy M. Brinks & Philip A. Franco, for Defendant-Appellant

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

MONDAY, MARCH 6, 2023

10:00 AM

22-CA-370

TIMOTHY D. KOEHL VERSUS RLI INSURANCE COMPANY, GEICO GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, PACKARD TRUCK LINES, L.L.C., AND ROBERT T. SALASSI

Darla L. D'Amico, Lam Lauren A., & Michael J. Almerico, for Plaintiff-Appellant Matthew D. Moghis, Michael S. Futrell, & William Peter Connick, for Defendant-Appellee Sarah Smith, Jessica Z. Barger - Pro Hac Vice, Aaron E. Koenck, Michael Adams-Hurta -Pro Hac Vice, & Rachel Chance Kramar, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	MONDAY, MARCH 6, 2023
	01:00 PM
22-CA-424	RONALD GAUTHREAUX VERSUS THE CITY OF GRETNA, BELINDA CONSTANT, SOLELY IN HER CAPACITY AS MAYOR FOR THE CITY OF GRETNA, LANCE LAINE AND LL'S HANDYMAN DUTIES
	Todd A. Hebert & Joshua L. Holmes, for Plaintiff-Appellant Leonard L. Levenson, Christian W. Helmke, Colleen B. Gannon, Donna R. Barrios, & W. J. LeBlanc, Jr., for Defendant-Appellee
22-CA-397	SHMENA THOMPSON VERSUS DOLLAR UP LA LLC AND STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY Byron M. Forrest, for Plaintiff-Appellant
	John E. McAuliffe, Jr., for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	MONDAY, MARCH 6, 2023
	01:00 PM
*22-CA-374	JOHN HALL VERSUS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONS
	John Hall - In Proper Person, for Plaintiff-Appellee Harrietta J. Bridges & Jennifer Del Murray, for Defendant-Appellant
*22-CA-389	HOIST & CRANE SERVICE GROUP, INC. VERSUS STANDARD CRANE & HOIST, LLC, ROBERT MAGUIRE, AND BRAD SMITH
	Peter J. Segrist, William T. Finn, & Russell L. Foster, for Plaintiff-Appellant Charles K. Chauvin, for Defendant-Appellee Sean P. Mount, Ellis B. Murov, & Jonathan M. Walsh, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

	TUESDAY, MARCH 7, 2023
	10:00 AM
*22-CA-417	CHARLES E. BARKER VERSUS ANCO INSULATIONS, INC., ET AL
	David R. Cannella, Christopher C. Colley, & Kristopher L. Thompson, for Plaintiff-Appellant Louis O. Oubre, Kaye N. Courington, Dawn D. Marullo, Jeffrey M. Burg, & Troy N. Bell, for Defendant-Appellee
22-CA-404	BRIDGET S. SALZMAN VERSUS MATHERNE'S SUPERMARKET AT RIVERLANDS, L.L.C. & STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY
	William E. Mura, Jr., Delaney P. Shea, Warren A. Forstall, Jr, & Troy G. Broussard for Plaintiff-Appellant Leonard M. D'Angelo, for Defendant-Appellee

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

TUESDAY, MARCH 7, 2023

10:00 AM

*22-CA-385 C/W 22-CA-386	LISELI OLIVA VERSUS NICOLE TIARA JONES C/W NICOLE T. JONES, CAMS VERSUS LISELI OLIVA CHAVEZ
	Liseli Oliva - In Proper Person, for Plaintiff-Appellee Nicole T. Jones - In Proper Person, for Defendant-Appellant
22-CA-392	ANA ABIGAIL GONOGORA VERSUS TAQUERIA LA CONQUISTADORA Gabriel O. Mondino, Cesar R. Burgos, Robert J. Daigre, George M. McGregor, William R. Penton, III, & Leila M. Bonilla, for Plaintiff-Appellee Michael H. Idoyaga, for Defendant-Appellant

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA 101 DERBIGNY STREET, GRETNA, LOUISIANA

THEODAY MADCH 7 2022		
	TUESDAY, MARCH 7, 2023	
	01:00 PM	
22-CA-403	JOSEPH F. UDDO, JR, M.D. VERSUS JEFFERSON PARISH HOSPITAL SERVICE DISTRICT NO.2 D/B/A EAST JEFFERSON GENERAL HOSPITAL	
	Bobby Ray T. Malbrough, for Plaintiff-Appellee Matthew A. Sherman, Daniel E. Buras, Jr., Patrick R. Follette, & Nicholas R. Varisco, for Defendant-Appellant	
22-CA-433	SCOTT SMITH, AND JULIE SMITH VERSUS MILLENNIUM GALVANIZING, LLC AND CREST INDUSTRIES, LLC J. Arthur Smith, III, J. Arthur Smith, IV, & Christopher J. Roy, for Plaintiff-Appellant	
	Christine S. Keenan, & Mary K. Gimber, for Defendant-Appellee	

Page 1 of 1

* ASTERISK INDICATES NO ORAL ARGUMENT

All appeals shall be submitted for decision without oral argument unless a written request for oral argument is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-11.4 <u>AND</u> a brief is timely filed in accordance with U.R.C.A. Rule 2-12.12. If a party's right to oral argument is forfeited for non-compliance with either or both of these rules, the party may seek leave of court for reinstatement of that right.